THE GRIPHAN ONLINE SCHOOL

UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Agricultural Research for Food Security

How careful research helps communities eat well, every year

Nji Griphan

griphan.48hourrfprescue.com

Agricultural Research for Food Security

How careful research helps communities eat well, every year

Nji Griphan

The Griphan Online School — University Library

IMPRINT

This book is published for the Library of the Griphan Online School. Author and publisher of record: **Nji Griphan**. School address on the web: **griphan.48hourrfprescue.com**. Professional mailbox: **info@griphan.48hourrfprescue.com**. © 2026 Nji Griphan. This book was written for the students of this school. Students enrolled at the school may download and keep it for their own study. The library grows shelf by shelf until it carries the whole university.

A note on this book

Food security is the question behind my own research life: how do farming communities produce enough good food, in good years and in hard ones? This book teaches the research craft that answers that question — how to frame a problem, observe honestly, test ideas in the field, and turn results into decisions farmers and policy makers can

use. It is written for university students and working researchers across Africa and beyond.

Contents

Chapter 1 — What Food Security Means

Chapter 2 — Framing a Research Question

Chapter 3 — Research Designs for the Field

Chapter 4 — Sampling and Data Collection

Chapter 5 — Analysing and Interpreting Results

Chapter 6 — From Results to Decisions

Appendix — Worked Examples and Practice

Glossary of Key Terms

Chapter 1

What Food Security Means

Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life. That widely used definition carries four pillars, and this chapter takes them one by one, because a researcher who cannot name the pillar he is studying will measure the wrong thing.

Availability — is there food?

Availability concerns the supply side: what farms produce, what markets stock, what arrives from elsewhere and what is held in store. A village can have full granaries in October and empty ones in June, so availability is always a question about time as well as quantity. Researchers measure it with production figures, market surveys and stock records, and they learn to distrust any single number that is not dated.

Access — can people reach it?

Food can stand in a market while a family goes hungry, because access is about money, distance, roads and social standing. A household's access depends on its income, the prices it faces, and whether its members — women and children included — are actually permitted to obtain and eat the food. Research on access therefore studies households, not only harvests.

Utilisation — does the body benefit?

A full stomach is not the same as a nourished body. Utilisation asks whether food is safe, clean and varied enough, whether water and health allow the body to absorb it, and whether knowledge guides good feeding, especially of young children. Contaminated water can undo a good harvest, which is why food security research reaches into health and hygiene.

Stability — does it last?

Stability is the pillar that fails first in a crisis. Prices spike, rains fail, conflict closes a road — and a family that was secure in March is insecure in May. Good research designs therefore follow the same households across seasons and years, because a single visit in the harvest season will paint a picture that the hungry season contradicts.

Key points

- Food security has four pillars: availability, access, utilisation and stability.
- Each pillar needs its own kind of evidence.
- Season matters: measure in the hungry season as well as at harvest.

Exercises

1. Name the four pillars and give one local example of failure in each.

2. Interview one household (with permission) about how its food situation changes across the year. Write one page.

3. Explain why a record harvest can coexist with hungry families.

Chapter 2

Framing a Research Question

Every sound study begins as a question that is small enough to answer and important enough to matter. This chapter teaches the craft of narrowing a vast worry — 'our yields are falling' — into a question a single season of honest work can answer.

From worry to question

Begin with the worry as farmers state it, in their words. Then ask three narrowing questions: where exactly, for whom exactly, and compared with what? 'Yields are falling' becomes 'maize yields on the upland fields of this district have fallen over five seasons compared with the valley fields.' Each narrowing removes fog and points to evidence you can actually gather.

The testable question

A research question is testable when you can say, before you begin, what observation would answer it and what observation would prove your expectation wrong. If no possible result could change your mind, you are writing an opinion, not a study. Write the expected answer down in advance; being honestly wrong is how research teaches.

Objectives and hypotheses

The general objective states the destination; specific objectives are the steps. A hypothesis is a precise, falsifiable expectation — for example, that compost at a stated rate raises sorghum yield by at least a stated

amount on a stated soil. Vague hypotheses produce vague conclusions, so put numbers and conditions into every hypothesis you write.

Reading what others found

No question is new until you have checked. Reading earlier studies — journal articles, theses, station reports — tells you what is known, which methods worked, and where the genuine gap lies. Keep a notebook of sources with full references from the first day; reconstructing a reference list at the end is misery and invites error.

Key points

- Narrow by place, people and comparison.
- A question must be capable of being answered 'no'.
- Write hypotheses with numbers and conditions.

Exercises

1. Turn 'cassava diseases are a problem' into a testable question with place, people and comparison.

2. Write one hypothesis with a number in it, and state what result would disprove it.

3. Find two published studies near your topic and summarise each in five lines.

Chapter 3

Research Designs for the Field

Design is the plan that connects your question to trustworthy evidence. This chapter compares the main designs an agricultural researcher will use — surveys, experiments, case studies and participatory work — and shows how to choose among them honestly.

Surveys — asking many, carefully

A survey gathers the same information from many respondents so patterns can be counted. Its strength is breadth; its weakness is that people report what they remember, wish, or think you want to hear. Pilot every questionnaire on a few friendly respondents first, translate it into the language respondents think in, and record answers exactly as given.

Experiments — changing one thing

An experiment changes one factor while holding others as steady as possible, so a difference in outcome can be credited to that factor. In field agriculture the classics are variety trials and fertiliser trials laid out in plots. Replication — repeating each treatment in several plots — is what separates an experiment from a demonstration.

Case studies — going deep

A case study follows one farm, cooperative or village in depth over time. It cannot tell you how common something is, but it can tell you how and why it happens, which surveys rarely reveal. Choose cases deliberately — a

typical case, an extreme case, a success worth understanding — and say plainly why you chose them.

Participatory research — working with farmers

Farmers are not merely subjects of research; they are its senior practitioners. Participatory methods — joint field walks, farmer scoring of varieties, community mapping — produce knowledge researchers alone would miss, and they build the trust on which adoption depends. The researcher's duty is to record farmers' judgements as carefully as instrument readings.

Key points

- Match the design to the question, not to habit.
- Replication separates experiment from demonstration.
- Farmers' knowledge is evidence; record it with respect.

Exercises

1. For one question of your choice, state which design fits best and why.

2. List three ways a survey answer can mislead, and a guard against each.

3. Design a variety trial with three varieties and four replications; sketch the plot layout.

Chapter 4

Sampling and Data Collection

You cannot measure every farm, plant or household, so you measure a sample — and the worth of your conclusions depends on how honestly that sample was chosen. This chapter covers sampling and the daily discipline of clean data collection.

Populations and samples

The population is everyone or everything your conclusion claims to describe; the sample is the part you actually measure. A conclusion about a district drawn from farms beside the tarmac road describes roadside farms, not the district. Always write down, before collecting, exactly what population your sample is meant to represent.

Random, stratified and purposive sampling

Random sampling gives every member a known chance of selection and is the gold standard for surveys. Stratified sampling divides the population into meaningful groups — by village, farm size or sex of household head — and samples within each, guaranteeing no group is missed. Purposive sampling chooses cases for what they can teach, and suits case studies; it must never be dressed up as random.

How many is enough?

Sample size trades precision against cost. For simple proportions, a few hundred respondents give margins of a few percentage points; thirty may be plenty for an

exploratory study honestly labelled as such. What is never acceptable is choosing a number silently and reporting results as if they were exact. State your sample size and its limits beside every result.

Field discipline

Data are made or ruined in the field. Number every questionnaire, check each day's forms the same evening, record units beside every measurement, and note the unusual — the plot flooded, the scale that slipped — while memory is fresh. A field notebook kept daily is worth more than a perfect memory claimed at writing time.

Key points

- A sample only speaks for the population it was honestly drawn from.
- Name your sampling method and defend it.
- Check data the same day you collect it.

Exercises

1. A study interviews farmers at the weekly market. What population does it really represent?

2. Design a stratified sample of 60 households across three villages of different sizes.

3. List five field-discipline rules for a two-week survey and the failure each prevents.

Chapter 5

Analysing and Interpreting Results

Analysis turns records into answers. This chapter teaches the order of work — clean, describe, compare, test — and the interpretation habits that keep a researcher from claiming more than the data hold.

Cleaning before counting

Before any statistic, hunt for errors: impossible values, missing entries, duplicate forms, units mixed between kilograms and bags. Keep the original data untouched and clean a copy, recording every change you make. An hour of cleaning prevents the classic disaster of a beautiful analysis of corrupted numbers.

Describing what you found

Begin with honest description: averages with their spread, proportions with their counts, tables and simple charts. Report the number behind every percentage — '60 per cent' of five farms is three farms. Description first also protects you: many a grand theory dies quietly when the simple table is finally looked at.

Comparing and testing

Comparisons carry the argument: treated against untreated, this season against last, village against village. Where numbers allow, a significance test asks whether a difference is larger than chance would commonly produce. A test never proves importance — a trivial difference can be significant in a huge sample, and a vital one

insignificant in a tiny sample. Report both the size of the difference and the test.

Interpretation and limits

Interpretation asks what the results mean for the original question, what else could explain them, and what they do not show. Every study has limits — one season, one district, self-reported yields. Stating limits plainly is not weakness; it is what separates research from advertising, and it tells the next researcher exactly where to begin.

Key points

- Clean a copy; never alter the original record.
- Give counts beside percentages, always.
- Report the size of an effect, not only its significance.

Exercises

1. A trial reports 'yield rose 50 per cent' from 2 to 3 bags. Rewrite the claim honestly.

2. List three rival explanations for a yield rise in an uncontrolled demonstration plot.

3. Write a limits paragraph for a one-season, one-village study.

Chapter 6

From Results to Decisions

Research that changes nothing is a diary. This final chapter follows results outward — into recommendations farmers can act on, reports officials can read, and the ethics that govern work done among real communities.

Writing recommendations that fit real farms

A recommendation must name who should do what, where, at what cost, and with what risk if the season turns bad. 'Apply fertiliser' is not a recommendation; 'apply two bags per hectare at first weeding on valley soils, where cash or credit allows' begins to be one. Test every recommendation against the poorest farmer it addresses.

Reports, briefs and talks

Different readers need different doors into the same findings: the full report for the record, a two-page brief for decision makers, a talk and a one-page summary in the local language for the farmers who hosted the work. Returning results to the community that produced them is not courtesy; it is a debt.

Ethics in the field

Consent before questions, honesty about who you are, confidentiality for what households tell you, and care that your work burdens no one and endangers no one. Never promise a community benefits you cannot deliver. Data about people's hunger and poverty are sensitive; store them as you would money.

The researcher's road ahead

Keep a research diary, publish where you can, present at society meetings, and mentor those behind you. Food security will not be solved by one study; it advances by a community of careful researchers, season after season, each standing on the honestly reported work of others.

Key points

- Recommendations name who, what, where, cost and risk.
- Results belong also to the community that hosted the work.
- Consent and confidentiality are not optional extras.

Exercises

1. Rewrite 'farmers should adopt drought-tolerant varieties' as a full recommendation.

2. Draft a one-page community summary of a study you know, in plain language.

3. List the ethical duties owed to a household that answers your questionnaire.

Appendix — Worked Examples and Practice

From Chapter 1 — What Food Security Means

Worked example: a district reports maize production up 20 per cent, yet the clinic reports more underweight children. A pillar-by-pillar check resolves the puzzle: availability rose, but a fuel price rise doubled transport costs, so access fell for remote villages; and a dry-season water shortage worsened utilisation. The research lesson: never conclude from one pillar alone.

From Chapter 2 — Framing a Research Question

Worked example: the worry 'young people are leaving farming' narrows to 'among households in two villages, do sons and daughters aged 18–30 who received secondary schooling leave farm work at higher rates than those who did not, over the last five years?' It names place, people, comparison and period — and records in the village can answer it.

From Chapter 3 — Research Designs for the Field

Worked example: to test whether a new bean variety outyields the local one, lay out 8 plots: each variety in 4 plots, positions assigned by drawing lots so neither variety monopolises the fertile corner. Harvest and weigh each plot separately. If the new variety wins in most plots by a consistent margin, you have evidence; a single side-by-side plot would have given you only an anecdote.

From Chapter 4 — Sampling and Data Collection

Worked example: a district has 900 farm households — 500 in Village A, 300 in B, 100 in C. A stratified sample of 90 taking one household in ten from each village gives 50, 30 and 10 interviews. Every village speaks in proportion to its size, and the smallest village is not lost, as it might be in an unplanned sample.

From Chapter 5 — Analysing and Interpreting Results

Worked example: compost plots average 1,840 kg/ha (spread ±210) and control plots 1,520 kg/ha (±190), each from 12 plots. The difference, 320 kg/ha, is about 21 per cent — worth having if compost labour is available — and a t-test finds it unlikely to be chance. The honest report gives all of it: means, spreads, counts, difference, and the labour caveat.

From Chapter 6 — From Results to Decisions

Worked example: a finding that mulching raises dry-season vegetable yields becomes, for farmers, a half-day demonstration and a one-page sheet with photographs; for the district office, a two-page brief costing the practice per hectare; for the record, a full report with data tables. Same truth, three doors — each audience actually reached.

Further Practice — Review and Discussion

Work through these prompts in writing; they are the revision spine of this book. Answer in full sentences, with examples from your own place of study wherever the prompt allows.

Chapter 1 — What Food Security Means

- Explain in your own words, with one example: Food security has four pillars: availability, access, utilisation and stability.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Each pillar needs its own kind of evidence.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Season matters: measure in the hungry season as well as at harvest.

Discussion: where does the subject of this chapter — what food security means — touch your own community, farm, school or workplace? Write one page, naming real places and real constraints, and end with one question you would research next.

Chapter 2 — Framing a Research Question

- Explain in your own words, with one example: Narrow by place, people and comparison.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: A question must be capable of being answered 'no'.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Write hypotheses with numbers and conditions.

Discussion: where does the subject of this chapter — framing a research question — touch your own community, farm, school or workplace? Write one page, naming real places and real constraints, and end with one question you would research next.

Chapter 3 — Research Designs for the Field

- Explain in your own words, with one example: Match the design to the question, not to habit.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Replication separates experiment from demonstration.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Farmers' knowledge is evidence; record it with respect.

Discussion: where does the subject of this chapter — research designs for the field — touch your own community, farm, school or workplace? Write one page, naming real places and real constraints, and end with one question you would research next.

Chapter 4 — Sampling and Data Collection

- Explain in your own words, with one example: A sample only speaks for the population it was honestly drawn from.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Name your sampling method and defend it.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Check data the same day you collect it.

Discussion: where does the subject of this chapter — sampling and data collection — touch your own community, farm, school or workplace? Write one page,

naming real places and real constraints, and end with one question you would research next.

Chapter 5 — Analysing and Interpreting Results

- Explain in your own words, with one example: Clean a copy; never alter the original record.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Give counts beside percentages, always.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Report the size of an effect, not only its significance.

Discussion: where does the subject of this chapter — analysing and interpreting results — touch your own community, farm, school or workplace? Write one page, naming real places and real constraints, and end with one question you would research next.

Chapter 6 — From Results to Decisions

- Explain in your own words, with one example: Recommendations name who, what, where, cost and risk.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Results belong also to the community that hosted the work.
- Explain in your own words, with one example: Consent and confidentiality are not optional extras.

Discussion: where does the subject of this chapter — from results to decisions — touch your own community, farm, school or workplace? Write one page, naming real places and real constraints, and end with one question you would research next.

Glossary of Key Terms

Food security — All people, at all times, having physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food for an active and healthy life.

Hypothesis — A precise, falsifiable expectation stated before data are gathered.

Replication — Repeating each treatment in several plots or units so chance can be estimated.

Sample — The part of a population actually measured, chosen by a stated method.

Stratified sampling — Sampling within defined subgroups so every group is represented.

Significance test — A calculation asking whether an observed difference is larger than chance would commonly produce.

Participatory research — Research designed and interpreted together with the farming community.

Utilisation — The pillar of food security concerning safety, nutrition and the body's ability to benefit from food.

End of book. Nji Griphan · griphan.48hourrfprescue.com · info@griphan.48hourrfprescue.com